

Meeting Date / Location

Tuesday, March 2, 2021

9:30 A.M. – 12:00 P.M.

Microsoft Teams Link:

https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-join/19%3ameeting_NmY4N2FmNTQtODk4MC00ZGlzLWEyYzItNjU2ODZmMDNiMmI2%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%2247feb367-af81-4519-94d7-caab1dfa1872%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22a2e04a02-2df2-4f7f-8724-377325b47e13%22%7d

Or call in (audio only)

+1 949-522-6403,,650103999# United States, Irvine

Phone Conference ID: 650 103 999#

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Staff</u>	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTIONS	(Chair Nate Farnsworth, City of Yorba Linda)	
PUBLIC COMMENTS	(Chair Farnsworth)	

The agenda descriptions are intended to give members of the public a general summary of items of business to be transacted or discussed. The posting of the recommended actions does not include what action will be taken. The Technical Advisory Committee may take any action which it deems appropriate on the agenda item and is not limited in any way by the notice of the recommended action.

At this time members of the public may address the TAC regarding any items within the subject matter jurisdiction, which are not separately listed on this agenda. Members of the public will have an opportunity to speak on agendized items at the time the item is called for discussion. NO action may be taken on items not listed on the agenda unless authorized by law. Comments shall be limited to three minutes per person and an overall time limit of twenty minutes for the Public Comments portion of the agenda.

Any person wishing to address the TAC on any matter, whether or not it appears on this agenda, is requested to complete a "Request to Speak" form available at the door. The completed form is to be submitted to the TAC Chair prior to an individual being heard. Whenever possible, lengthy testimony should be presented to the TAC in writing and only pertinent points presented orally. A speaker's comments shall be limited to three minutes.

ADMINISTRATION

1. **OCCOG TAC Meeting Minutes** (Chair Farnsworth) TAC 4
 - Draft OCCOG TAC minutes for the February 2, 2021 meeting

Recommended Action: Approve OCCOG TAC minutes for the February 2, 2021 meeting, as presented or amended

Agenda Item	Staff	Page
-------------	-------	------

PRESENTATIONS, DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS, REPORTS

- | | | |
|---|---|--------|
| 2. Center of Demographic and Research Update | (Deborah Diep, Center for Demographic Research (CDR))
30 minutes | TAC 11 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government Employment Data Survey for 2022 Orange County Projections & 2024 SCAG RTP/SCS Status Update • OCP-2022: Optional review of employers with 100+ employees on site • 2020 Housing Inventory System (HIS) Data Collection • 2020 Census Geographies & Data • U.S. Census Bureau 2021 Consolidated Boundary and Annexation Survey (CBAS) • OCP-2022 Timeline Update <p><i>Recommended Action:</i> Receive report. Discussion.</p> | | |
| 3. Sustainable Communities Program Call for Applications | (Marisa Laderach, SCAG)
20 minutes | TAC 16 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Smart Cities & Mobility Innovations <p><i>Recommended Action:</i> Receive report. Discussion.</p> | | |
| 4. RHNA Update | (Chair Farnsworth)
15 minutes | TAC 17 |
| <p><i>Recommended Action:</i> Receive report. Discussion.</p> | | |
| 5. Comprehensive Geospatial Planning Solution and Site Visualization Services | (WSP) 20 minutes | TAC 27 |
| <p><i>Recommended Action:</i> Receive report. Discussion</p> | | |

REPORT FROM CHAIR/VICE CHAIR

- HCD Technical Assistance Housing Element Resources
<https://www.dropbox.com/sh/cka3nxyaxvif1g/AAAyIMPLCvh0QcoP2y242zCYa?dl=0>

REPORT FROM THE OCCOG EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MATTERS FROM OCCOG TAC MEMBERS

ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM NON-MEMBERS

ITEMS FOR NEXT MEETING

IMPORTANT DATES OR UPCOMING EVENTS

Agenda Item

Staff

Page

**Adjourn to: APRIL 6, 2021
LOCATION: TBD**



**ORANGE COUNTY
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**
Technical Advisory Committee

Draft Action Minutes

Meeting of March 2, 2021

Vice Chair Justin Equina, City of Irvine, called the Orange County Council of Governments Technical Advisory Committee (OCCOG TAC) meeting of February 2, 2021, to order at 9:30 am. The meeting took place through video and telephone conferencing.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

There were no public comments at this time.

ADMINISTRATION

1. OCCOG TAC Meeting Minutes

The OCCOG meeting minutes of January 5, 2021 were unanimously approved by the TAC as moved by Jennifer Savage, City of San Clemente, and second by Derek Bingham, City of Rancho Santa Margarita.

PRESENTATIONS, DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS, REPORTS

2. SCAG Housing Element Parcel (HELPR) Tool

Tom Vo, SCAG, gave a presentation and demonstrated a live demo of the HELPR Tool. HELPR is a web-mapping tool developed by SCAG to help local jurisdictions and stakeholders understand local land use, site opportunities, and environmental sensitivities for aligning housing planning with the state Department of Housing and Community Development's (HCD) 6th cycle housing element requirements.

The HELPR Tool is now available to all cities and counties in the SCAG region.

Presentation slides are included in the agenda packet.

3. Center for Demographic Research

Ms. Deborah Diep, Executive Director of CDR, gave an update on the Government Employment Data Survey for 2022 Orange County Projections & 2024 SCAG RTP/SCS, July 1- December 31, 2020 Housing Inventory System (HIS) Data Collection, and the 2020 Census Geographies & Data.

Government Employment Data Survey for 2022 Orange County Projections & 2024 SCAG RTP/SCS

SCAG has determined the base year for their 2024 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy will be 2019. Since the COVID-19 pandemic and its associated economic shutdown has created abnormal circumstances in 2020, SCAG will use 2019, the last year of available data as the base year, because a complete year of available data is needed and it should reflect “normal” circumstances to calibrate the transportation models. 2019 will also be the base year for the 2022 Orange County Projections.

In early January 2021, CDR sent the government employment survey to Orange County jurisdictions to obtain 2019 employee numbers and information on the most recent number of employees (approximately end 2020 or current 2021 data). 2019 data will be incorporated into the base year data and the 2021 data will help to inform the projections data for the year 2025 and moving forward.

The following employment counts are due back to CDR by Wednesday, February 10, 2021:

- July 1, 2019 number of city employees by location (or as close to that date as possible)
- January 1, 2021 number of city employees by location (or as close to that date as possible)

Please contact Ruby Zaman for any questions ruzaman@fullerton.edu.

July 1- December 31, 2020 Housing Inventory System (HIS) Data Collection

July 1- December 31, 2020 housing construction and demolition data was due Friday, January 22, 2021.

HIS submission forms were updated in 2020 to include additional sample entries, clarifications in the instructions, and an updated HIS unit flow chart to better explain how to record unit activity when attached ADUs are involved. This is similar to DOF’s new housing survey flow chart DOF, but is tailored to CDR’s 4 ADU types. An additional optional column was added “Building Permit Date Issued” to assist in compiling HIS, DOF and HCD APR data.

Please submit data to CDR using the 2020 HIS form located at <http://www.fullerton.edu/cdr/HISform.xls> . Revisions to prior years may use either the new or old form. Please verify that the same data reported to CDR is also provided to DOF in their annual Housing Unit Change Survey. For HIS questions, please contact Tania Torres at 657-278-3417 or tatorres@fullerton.edu.

2020 Census Geographies & Data

The Census Bureau has released the California 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Geographic Support Products (no data). Geographic support products available for public use will be released state by state, expected between January 22, 2021 and February 28, 2021.

<https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/about/rdo/summary-files.html#P2>

States Posted on 01/19/2021: AR, CO, MD, NJ & VA

States Posting on 01/26/2021: CA, IA, IN, LA, MO, NC, NY, OH, & OR

States Posting on 02/02/2021: DE, HI, ME, MS, NE, NV, PA, SD, WA, WI

GIS Shapefiles can be downloaded from the Bureau FTP link on:
<https://www.census.gov/geographies/mapping-files/time-series/geo/tiger-line-file.html>

CDR is processing the California files and will post the Orange County census geographies (block, block group, census tract and place) on its 2020 Census webpage for use in GIS software:

<http://www.fullerton.edu/cdr/demographics/census2020.aspx>

Redistricting Data:

The statutory deadline to provide redistricting data is March 31, 2021.

<https://2020census.gov/en/news-events/operational-adjustments-covid-19.html>

Action: Received Report. Discussion.

4. Orange County Data Acquisition Partnership (OCDAP)

Ms. Deborah Diep, Executive Director of CDR, gave an update on OCDAP. The Orange County agencies have partnered with SCAG to pool resources to purchase online and GIS-accessible aerial imagery for Orange County. Training sessions for the online platform (CONNECTExplorer) have been scheduled and are open to any public agency. Scheduled sessions are:

- Tuesday, February 16, 2021- 9-10AM
- Monday, February 22, 2021 1-2PM

The training sessions are open to everyone. However, only full active members will have access and logins to the platform. A flyer is attached to the agenda packet for more information.

Active members are those that have their signed Participation Agreement and paid their Cycle 1 invoice. Pending members are those that have not signed Participation Agreement and/or paid their Cycle 1 invoice.

The Participant Agreement needs to be signed by each agency participating in Cycle 1 of OCDAP; the Agreement will also be signed by OCCOG, which will be invoicing and collecting the funds, and SCAG who is handling the procurement of the vendor contract. Agencies may still participate in Cycle 1, which covers FY2020/21-FY2021/22; those interested in participation should contact Javier Aguilar, SCAG: (213) 236-1845 Cell: (213) 999-1252 aguilar@scag.ca.gov.

Action: Received Report. Discussion.

5. RHNA Appeals

Chair Nate Farnsworth provided an update on the RHNA appeals. The RHNA Appeals Board has completed all public hearings related to the RHNA appeals filed. All appeals were denied except for two: County of Riverside and City of Pico Rivera. A total of 3,132 housing units were successfully appealed.

Per state housing law and the RHNA appeals procedures, these units will be redistributed proportionally to all jurisdictions throughout the region; therefore, all jurisdictions will see a slight increase in their RHNA allocation. There is one last RHNA Appeals Board Meeting where they will identify how to allocate the units. The meeting will occur in two weeks and move forward to the Regional Council in March for final approval. The decision of the RHNA Appeals Board is final and cannot be further appealed to the Regional Council.

Several Regional Council meetings ago, there was a request to hold a Regional Council closed session to discuss the findings from the Litigation Study Team regarding HCD's Regional Determination. SCAG President Rex Richardson at the last Regional Council meeting confirmed he would be holding a closed session item with the Regional Council members in the next coming weeks.

Action: Received Report. Discussion.

6. SoCal Greenprint

India Brookover, SCAG, and Shona Ganguly, The Nature Conservancy, gave a presentation on the SoCal Greenprint. The mapping tool highlights the benefits of natural lands, waters and agricultural lands, including access to parks and trails, clean water, clean air, and more. The Southern California Greenprint will aggregate existing data in an interactive online format, assist decision-makers plan for development with nature in mind, and highlight conservation efforts within the region.

OCCOG TAC Minutes
Meeting of March 2, 2020
Page 5

Stakeholder input and user testing will begin in spring 2021. The tool is planned to launch fall 2021.

The presentation slides are included in the agenda packet.

7. REAP Update

Ms. Marnie Primmer, OCCOG Executive Director, is requesting feedback from the TAC on an adjustment to the REAP project list. Because SCAG has rejected a portion of the proposed support for the OCHFT, approximately \$200,000 in funding the OCCOG Board has previously approved for the project must be reallocated. Options include:

- Reallocate funds from OCHFT to one or more projects already included in the list of projects
- Add an additional project to support OCCOG member jurisdictions
 - Provide funding support to United Way (see attached proposal)
 - Provide funding support to SCAG to support their Housing Leadership Academy
 - Produce a Countywide Housing Inventory Report (similar to that produced by City of San Diego)
 - Examining infrastructure improvements to support required housing
 - production throughout Orange County in the 6th cycle RHNA

A flyer was attached to the agenda packet showing how the REAP funding could support United Way activities in reducing homelessness. Michelle Murphy, Director of Public Affairs for United Way, gave an overview of United to End Homelessness. The program is a collaboration between Orange County’s top business, philanthropic, governmental, faith-based, and non-profit leaders, all working to end homelessness in Orange County.

TAC members suggested re-allocating the funds to programs already identified in the list with the caveat that they do not go to the ADU efforts given several jurisdictions have started that work.

Ms. Marnie Primmer also updated the TAC that the first REAP MOU and RFP was submitted to SCAG for review and concurrence for the GIS based Geospatial Planning Solution and 3-D Site Selection.

REPORT FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Ms. Marnie Primmer, OCCOG Executive Director, announced the General Assembly is postponed to the Fall. The two possible dates are Friday October 15, 2021 and Monday October 25, 2021.

Also, the first two housing videos are available and subsequent videos will commence in Phase II.

MATTERS FROM OCCOG TAC MEMBERS

Chair Farnsworth noted that the HCD technical Assistance Kick-Off Meeting will take place today at 2pm. The RHNA's closed session will occur at SCAG's EAC and RC meeting tomorrow.

ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM OCCOG TAC NON-MEMBERS

There were no items to report from OCCOG TAC Non-Members.

ITEMS FOR NEXT MEETING

Debora Diep from CDR noted that SCAG was invited next month's meeting to discuss Local Profiles.

IMPORTANT DATES OR UPCOMING EVENTS

There were no important dates or upcoming events.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned by Vice Chair Equina until Tuesday, March 2, 2021 via video and teleconferencing.

Submitted by:

Justin Equina, City of Irvine
OCCOG TAC Vice Chair

ATTENDANCE:

Ben Zdeba, City of Newport Beach
Charles Guiam, City of Anaheim
David Crabtree, City of Buena Park
Deborah Diep, CDR/CSUF
Derek Bingham, City of Rancho Santa Margarita
Erica Demkowic, City of Tustin

OCCOG TAC Minutes
Meeting of March 2, 2020
Page 7

India Brookover, SCAG
Jay Wu, City of Laguna Hills
Jennifer Savage, City of San Clemente
Jonathan Hughes, SCAG
Justin Arios, City of Costa Mesa
Justin Equina, City of Irvine
Laura Stokes, City of San Juan Capistrano
Marnie Primmer, OCCOG
Kimberly Clark, SCAG
Melanie McCann, City of Santa Ana
Nate Farnsworth, City of Yorba Linda
Nicolle Aube, City of Huntington Beach
Ron Santos, City of Lake Forest
Rose Rvera, City of Aliso Viejo
Roy Ramsland, City of La Habra
Ruby Zaman, CDR/CSUF
Sandie Kim, City of Westminster
Sergio Heredia, City of Brea
Suchi Lukes, WSP
Swati Meshram, City of Buena Park
Warren Whiteaker, OCTA
Wendy Starks, City of Rancho Santa Margarita
Virginia Gomez, TCA

Item 2: Center for Demographic Research (CDR) Updates
Recommended Action: Receive reports.

Reports

1. Government Employment Data Survey for 2022 Orange County Projections & 2024 SCAG RTP/SCS Status Update

SCAG has determined the base year for their 2024 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy will be 2019. Since the COVID-19 pandemic and its associated economic shutdown has created abnormal circumstances in 2020, SCAG will use 2019, the last year of available data as the base year, because a complete year of available data is needed and it should reflect “normal” circumstances to calibrate the transportation models. 2019 will also be the base year for the 2022 Orange County Projections.

In early January 2021, CDR sent the government employment survey to Orange County jurisdictions to obtain 2019 employee numbers and information on the most recent number of employees (approximately end 2020 or current 2021 data). 2019 data will be incorporated into the base year data and the 2021 data will help to inform the projections data for the year 2025 and moving forward. The following employment counts were due back to CDR on February 10, 2021:

1. July 1, 2019 number of city employees by location (or as close to that date as possible)
2. January 1, 2021 number of city employees by location (or as close to that date as possible)

Please contact Ruby Zaman for any questions ruzaman@fullerton.edu.

2. OCP-2022: Optional review of employers with 100+ employees on site

Due to the economic shutdown in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, many businesses were closed or working remotely during summer 2020 and CDR was not able to undertake the phone survey of employers with 100 or more employees on site. Now that 2019 has been identified as the base year for OCP-2022 and the 2024 RTP/SCS, CDR is presenting the option for jurisdictions to review the CDR employer dataset with 100 or more employees in your jurisdiction.

Review of this database is optional. Because the data was purchased under a restrictive contract with Dun & Bradstreet (D&B), jurisdictions must sign and return a user/non-disclosure agreement to CDR before obtaining the data for review. Review and feedback of the employer dataset will be due back to CDR by March 30, 2021.

The conditions for viewing the 2020 D&B employment file are the following:

1. To review the Dunn & Bradstreet database in conjunction with the 2022 Orange County Projections and provide the CDR with feedback on the location of each employer and



whether or not this employer was active in their jurisdiction in either summer 2019 or approximately January 2021;

2. The city will not share any portion of the data with city or non-city staff employees except for those individuals identified below;
3. No part of the database shall be used to contact employers within the database via phone; fax, email, mailing, or any other form using data from this file;
4. This file may not be sold;
5. The records must be returned to the CDR or destroyed at the completion of the 2022 Orange County Projections expected to happen in mid-2022;
6. The city may not use any portion of the database in any publication in any format.

Access to the 2020 D&B employment file should be restricted only to those staff or individuals identified as the primary staff contact for the 2022 Orange County Projections or the staff member who will review the employment database and give feedback directly to CDR.

Please contact Ruby Zaman ruzaman@fullerton.edu to request the user/non-disclosure agreement to obtain the data. For agencies to participate in the 100+ review, they must first submit the government employment data survey.

3. 2020 Housing Inventory System (HIS) Data Collection

July 1- December 31, 2020 housing construction and demolition data was due Friday, January 22, 2021. Please submit data to CDR using the 2020 HIS form located at <http://www.fullerton.edu/cdr/HISform.xls> . Revisions to prior years may use either the new or old form. Please verify that the same data reported to CDR is also provided to DOF in their annual Housing Unit Change Survey. The following jurisdictions have not submitted all or a portion of their 2020 data:

- Anaheim
- Laguna Woods
- Seal Beach
- Brea
- Placentia
- Tustin
- Laguna Niguel
- Santa Ana
- Yorba Linda

HIS submission forms were updated in 2020 to include additional sample entries, clarifications in the instructions, and an updated HIS unit flow chart to better explain how to record unit activity when attached ADUs are involved. This is similar to DOF’s new housing survey flow chart DOF, but is tailored to CDR’s 4 ADU types. An additional optional column was added “Building Permit Date Issued” to assist in compiling HIS, DOF and HCD APR data. For HIS questions, please contact Tania Torres at 657-278-3417 or tatorres@fullerton.edu.

4. 2020 Census Geographies & Data

The Census Bureau has released the California 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Geographic Support Products (no data). Geographic support products and informaon on the release schedule

for data are available at <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/about/rdo/summary-files.html#P2>

GIS Shapefiles can be downloaded from the Bureau FTP link on:

<https://www.census.gov/geographies/mapping-files/time-series/geo/tiger-line-file.html>

CDR has processed the California files and posted the Orange County census geographies (block, block group, census tract and place) on its 2020 Census webpage for use in GIS software: <http://www.fullerton.edu/cdr/demographics/census2020.aspx>

Once the 2020 Census data is released, it will be joined to the census geographies and posted on the same CDR website. The Census Bureau announced the initial 2020 Census dataset, the P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Dataset, will be released by September 30, 2021. Data for all states will be released at the same time instead of on a flow-basis as they have done in the past. The timeline is posted at <https://2020census.gov/en/news-events/operational-adjustments-covid-19.html>

5. U.S. Census Bureau 2021 Consolidated Boundary and Annexation Survey (CBAS)

- 33 of 35 jurisdictions are included in the delegated boundary review by CDR
- CDR is using the official County Surveyor jurisdiction boundary information; coordinating with OCLAFCO on annexations effective as of 1/1/2021.
- There were no city boundary changes in Orange County in calendar year 2020.
- Any official boundary changes taking place during calendar year 2021 will be reported in early 2022.
- On January 22, 2021, in advance of the March 1, 2021 deadline, one CBAS correction was submitted to the Census Bureau:
 - The Tustin Ave/17th St Unincorporated Island was annexed by the City of Santa Ana in 2019. The annexation was reported by CDR to the Census Bureau on 1/21/2020. Upon review of the 2020 census block boundaries, it was discovered the 3 blocks within the Tustin Ave/17th St Island had been misassigned to the City of Tustin. A CBAS correction to the Santa Ana and Tustin place boundaries was submitted to the Census Bureau on January 22, 2021 to correct the error.
 - This correction is supposed to be incorporated into the 2020 Decennial Census and American Community Survey.
- CDR Contact: Ian Boles

To update BAS contact information or join CBAS, contact geo.bas@census.gov .

Background: The U.S. Census Bureau conducts the Boundary and Annexation Survey (BAS) to update information about the legal boundaries, names, governmental status, and types of municipalities in each county. Since 2013, this program has operated biennially. This



voluntary survey is an important opportunity to ensure the Census Bureau has the correct boundaries and legal names. The Census Bureau uses the boundary information provided to report data from various programs and surveys, such as the Population Estimates Program and the American Community Survey.

In 2012, the U.S. Census Bureau began a new program that allows for a consolidated annual review of jurisdiction boundaries. This program is called the consolidated BAS (CBAS). The consolidated BAS (CBAS) program allows counties to report boundary and feature changes for some or all of the legal governments within their county. Since 2012, 32 Orange County cities joined the CBAS and delegated review and corrections to CDR to reduce the burden on local governments and avoid duplication of efforts. The CDR submits boundary changes and boundary corrections on behalf of Orange County jurisdictions using the official County of Orange Surveyor's city boundary file. This program is optional and jurisdictions can opt out at any time.

Jurisdictions are contacted annually by the Census Bureau to update contact information for the jurisdiction. CDR receives the annual BAS materials and, on behalf of the jurisdictions, reviews the materials and provides corrections to the Bureau based on the official OC Surveyor city boundary file. CDR provides a written update at the OCCOG TAC of the outcome of the BAS review, i.e., whether there were any areas where jurisdiction boundaries needed to be corrected.

6. OCP-2022 Timeline Update

Due to the delay in the release of the 2020 Census data, the draft timeline for jurisdiction review of the draft 2022 Orange County Projections (OCP-2022) data has been modified. In recent iterations, the review of the base year data has been done separate and prior to the review of the projections data. With the release of the 2020 Census data by September 30, 2021, it is expected that the jurisdictions' TAZ-level review of the 2019 base year data and the disaggregation of 2020 Census population and housing counts to the new 2021 traffic analysis zones (TAZs) will be combined with the review of the projections data in approximately March and April 2022. In addition, the 2020 Census Count Question Resolution program will begin in fall 2021 and any errors identified by CDR or jurisdictions during the review of the draft OCP-2022 data will be flagged for submittal to the Census Bureau for correction and inclusion in the errata.

Contact: Ms. Deborah Diep, Director, Center for Demographic Research
657/278-4596 ddiep@fullerton.edu

Employment data: Ms. Ruby Zaman, Assistant Director, CDR
657/278-4709 ruzaman@fullerton.edu

For GIS:

Mr. Ian Boles, GIS Analyst, CDR
657/278-4670 iboles@fullerton.edu

For HIS:

Ms. Tania Torres, Demographic Analyst, CDR
657/278-3417 tatorres@fullerton.edu

Item 3: **Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Call for Applications for 2020 Sustainable Communities Program – Smart Cities & Mobility Innovations (SCMI)**

Recommended Action: Receive reports.

Reports

On February 8, 2021, SCAG released the third Call for Applications for SCMI projects. Applicants can apply for any of the project types listed below:

- Smart Cities & Job Centers
- Go Zones
- Shared Mobility/Mobility as a Service.

These items focus on advancing expanded mobility ecosystems and management strategies using innovative policy and/or technology to realize regional planning goals. Resources through this Call for Applications will support local jurisdictions to use technology and innovation to improve the efficiency and performance of the transportation system.

More details about each project type, program area goals, and the SCP overall can be found in the Sustainable Communities Program [Smart Cities & Mobility Innovations Guidelines](#).

The SCMI Call for Applications closes April 23, 2021 at 5:00 p.m.

Attachment: SCAG SCP SCMI Slides
Fact Sheet



Item 4: **RHNA Update**
Recommended Action: Receive report.

Reports

On February 16, 2021, the RHNA Appeals Board approved the written determinations for all appeal decisions and recommended the approval of the Final RHNA Allocation Plan (see Attachment 1). The Final RHNA Allocation Plan was approved (25-12) by SCAG's CEHD Policy Committee on February 23, 2021. The Regional Council will consider this at their March 4, 2021 meeting.

Additionally, on February 16, 2021, the RHNA Appeals Board recommended that the Regional Council approve a resolution to pursue improvements to the RHNA process and to continue to support local jurisdictions in developing and implementing their 6th cycle RHNA Housing Elements. On February 23, 2021, SCAG's CEHD Policy Committee unanimously recommended that the Regional Council approve the resolution. CEHD recommended adding in several points not included within the draft resolution, including ensuring that the transportation agencies fully understand that their transportation projections will be assumed for RHNA purposes.

SCAG 6TH CYCLE PROPOSED FINAL RHNA ALLOCATION

2/5/21

ALLOCATION BY COUNTY

	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above moderate income
Imperial	15,993	4,671	2,357	2,198	6,767
Los Angeles	812,060	217,273	123,022	131,381	340,384
Orange	183,861	46,416	29,242	32,546	75,657
Riverside	167,351	41,995	26,473	29,167	69,716
San Bernardino	138,110	35,667	21,903	24,140	56,400
Ventura	24,452	5,774	3,810	4,525	10,343
TOTAL	1,341,827	351,796	206,807	223,957	559,267

ALLOCATION BY Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) SUBREGIONS

REAP Subregion	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above moderate income
CVAG	31,619	6,204	4,664	5,561	15,190
Gateway Cities COG	71,678	20,029	10,391	10,822	30,436
Imperial County	15,993	4,671	2,357	2,198	6,767
Las Virgenes-Malibu COG	933	362	199	183	189
Los Angeles City	456,643	115,978	68,743	75,091	196,831
North Los Angeles County	15,663	4,001	2,129	2,332	7,201
Orange County COG	183,861	46,416	29,242	32,546	75,657
San Bernardino COG/SBCTA	138,110	35,667	21,903	24,140	56,400
San Fernando Valley COG	34,023	9,850	5,588	5,614	12,971
San Gabriel Valley COG	89,616	25,208	13,400	14,074	36,934
South Bay Cities COG	34,179	10,221	5,236	5,539	13,183
Uninc. Los Angeles County	90,052	25,648	13,691	14,180	36,533
Uninc. Riverside County	40,647	10,371	6,627	7,347	16,302
Ventura COG	24,452	5,774	3,810	4,525	10,343
Westside Cities COG	19,273	5,976	3,645	3,546	6,106
Western Riverside COG	95,085	25,420	15,182	16,259	38,224

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

County	Jurisdiction	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above- moderate income
Imperial	Brawley city	1426	399	210	202	615
Imperial	Calexico city	4868	1279	655	614	2320
Imperial	Calipatria city	151	36	21	16	78
Imperial	El Centro city	3442	1001	490	462	1489
Imperial	Holtville city	171	41	33	26	71

Attachment: Proposed Final Allocation Plan (Proposed Final 6th Cycle RHNA Allocation Plan)

SCAG 6TH CYCLE DRAFT RHNA ALLOCATION BASED ON RC-APPROVED FINAL RHNA METHODOLOGY

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

County	Jurisdiction	Total	Very-low		Moderate	Above-
			income	Low income	income	moderate income
Imperial	Imperial city	1601	704	346	294	257
Imperial	Unincorporated Imp	4301	1203	596	580	1922
Imperial	Westmorland city	33	8	6	4	15
Los Angeles	Agoura Hills city	318	127	72	55	64
Los Angeles	Alhambra city	6825	1774	1036	1079	2936
Los Angeles	Arcadia city	3214	1102	570	605	937
Los Angeles	Artesia city	1069	312	168	128	461
Los Angeles	Avalon city	27	8	5	3	11
Los Angeles	Azusa city	2651	760	368	382	1141
Los Angeles	Baldwin Park city	2001	576	275	263	887
Los Angeles	Bell city	229	43	24	29	133
Los Angeles	Bell Gardens city	503	100	29	72	302
Los Angeles	Bellflower city	3735	1015	488	553	1679
Los Angeles	Beverly Hills city	3104	1008	680	602	814
Los Angeles	Bradbury city	41	16	9	9	7
Los Angeles	Burbank city	8772	2553	1418	1409	3392
Los Angeles	Calabasas city	354	132	71	70	81
Los Angeles	Carson city	5618	1770	913	875	2060
Los Angeles	Cerritos city	1908	679	345	332	552
Los Angeles	Claremont city	1711	556	310	297	548
Los Angeles	Commerce city	247	55	22	39	131
Los Angeles	Compton city	1004	235	121	131	517
Los Angeles	Covina city	1910	614	268	281	747
Los Angeles	Cudahy city	393	80	36	53	224
Los Angeles	Culver City city	3341	1108	604	560	1069
Los Angeles	Diamond Bar city	2521	844	434	437	806
Los Angeles	Downey city	6525	2079	946	915	2585
Los Angeles	Duarte city	888	269	145	137	337
Los Angeles	El Monte city	8502	1797	853	1233	4619
Los Angeles	El Segundo city	492	189	88	84	131
Los Angeles	Gardena city	5735	1485	761	894	2595
Los Angeles	Glendale city	13425	3439	2163	2249	5574
Los Angeles	Glendora city	2276	735	386	388	767
Los Angeles	Hawaiian Gardens ci	331	61	44	46	180
Los Angeles	Hawthorne city	1734	445	204	249	836
Los Angeles	Hermosa Beach city	558	232	127	106	93
Los Angeles	Hidden Hills city	40	17	8	9	6
Los Angeles	Huntington Park city	1605	264	196	243	902
Los Angeles	Industry city	17	6	4	2	5
Los Angeles	Inglewood city	7439	1813	955	1112	3559
Los Angeles	Irwindale city	119	36	11	17	55
Los Angeles	La Cañada Flintridge	612	252	135	139	86

Attachment: Proposed Final Allocation Plan (Proposed Final 6th Cycle RHNA Allocation Plan)

SCAG 6TH CYCLE DRAFT RHNA ALLOCATION BASED ON RC-APPROVED FINAL RHNA METHODOLOGY

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

County	Jurisdiction	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above-moderate income
Los Angeles	La Habra Heights city	172	78	35	31	28
Los Angeles	La Mirada city	1962	634	342	320	666
Los Angeles	La Puente city	1929	544	275	275	835
Los Angeles	La Verne city	1346	414	239	223	470
Los Angeles	Lakewood city	3922	1296	637	653	1336
Los Angeles	Lancaster city	9023	2224	1194	1328	4277
Los Angeles	Lawndale city	2497	732	311	371	1083
Los Angeles	Lomita city	829	239	124	128	338
Los Angeles	Long Beach city	26502	7141	4047	4158	11156
Los Angeles	Los Angeles city	456643	115978	68743	75091	196831
Los Angeles	Lynwood city	1558	377	139	235	807
Los Angeles	Malibu city	79	28	19	17	15
Los Angeles	Manhattan Beach cit	774	322	165	155	132
Los Angeles	Maywood city	365	55	47	55	208
Los Angeles	Monrovia city	1670	519	262	254	635
Los Angeles	Montebello city	5186	1314	707	777	2388
Los Angeles	Monterey Park city	5257	1324	822	848	2263
Los Angeles	Norwalk city	5034	1546	759	658	2071
Los Angeles	Palmdale city	6640	1777	935	1004	2924
Los Angeles	Palos Verdes Estates	199	82	44	48	25
Los Angeles	Paramount city	364	92	43	48	181
Los Angeles	Pasadena city	9429	2747	1662	1565	3455
Los Angeles	Pico Rivera city	1024	299	146	149	430
Los Angeles	Pomona city	10558	2799	1339	1510	4910
Los Angeles	Rancho Palos Verdes	639	253	139	125	122
Los Angeles	Redondo Beach city	2490	936	508	490	556
Los Angeles	Rolling Hills city	45	20	9	11	5
Los Angeles	Rolling Hills Estates c	191	82	42	38	29
Los Angeles	Rosemead city	4612	1154	638	686	2134
Los Angeles	San Dimas city	1248	384	220	206	438
Los Angeles	San Fernando city	1795	461	273	284	777
Los Angeles	San Gabriel city	3023	846	415	466	1296
Los Angeles	San Marino city	397	149	91	91	66
Los Angeles	Santa Clarita city	10031	3397	1734	1672	3228
Los Angeles	Santa Fe Springs city	952	253	159	152	388
Los Angeles	Santa Monica city	8895	2794	1672	1702	2727
Los Angeles	Sierra Madre city	204	79	39	35	51
Los Angeles	Signal Hill city	517	161	78	90	188
Los Angeles	South El Monte city	577	131	64	70	312
Los Angeles	South Gate city	8282	2136	994	1173	3979
Los Angeles	South Pasadena city	2067	757	398	334	578
Los Angeles	Temple City city	2186	630	350	369	837

Attachment: Proposed Final Allocation Plan (Proposed Final 6th Cycle RHNA Allocation Plan)

SCAG 6TH CYCLE DRAFT RHNA ALLOCATION BASED ON RC-APPROVED FINAL RHNA METHODOLOGY

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

County	Jurisdiction	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above-moderate income
Los Angeles	Torrance city	4939	1621	846	853	1619
Los Angeles	Unincorporated Los	90052	25648	13691	14180	36533
Los Angeles	Vernon city	9	5	4	0	0
Los Angeles	Walnut city	1293	427	225	231	410
Los Angeles	West Covina city	5346	1653	850	865	1978
Los Angeles	West Hollywood city	3933	1066	689	682	1496
Los Angeles	Westlake Village city	142	58	29	32	23
Los Angeles	Whittier city	3439	1025	537	556	1321
Orange	Aliso Viejo city	1195	390	214	205	386
Orange	Anaheim city	17453	3767	2397	2945	8344
Orange	Brea city	2365	669	393	403	900
Orange	Buena Park city	8919	2119	1343	1573	3884
Orange	Costa Mesa city	11760	2919	1794	2088	4959
Orange	Cypress city	3936	1150	657	623	1506
Orange	Dana Point city	530	147	84	101	198
Orange	Fountain Valley city	4839	1307	786	834	1912
Orange	Fullerton city	13209	3198	1989	2271	5751
Orange	Garden Grove city	19168	4166	2801	3211	8990
Orange	Huntington Beach ci	13368	3661	2184	2308	5215
Orange	Irvine city	23610	6396	4235	4308	8671
Orange	La Habra city	804	192	116	130	366
Orange	La Palma city	802	224	140	137	301
Orange	Laguna Beach city	394	118	80	79	117
Orange	Laguna Hills city	1985	568	353	354	710
Orange	Laguna Niguel city	1207	348	202	223	434
Orange	Laguna Woods city	997	127	136	192	542
Orange	Lake Forest city	3236	956	543	559	1178
Orange	Los Alamitos city	769	194	119	145	311
Orange	Mission Viejo city	2217	674	401	397	745
Orange	Newport Beach city	4845	1456	930	1050	1409
Orange	Orange city	3936	1067	604	677	1588
Orange	Placentia city	4374	1231	680	770	1693
Orange	Rancho Santa Marga	680	209	120	125	226
Orange	San Clemente city	982	282	164	188	348
Orange	San Juan Capistrano	1054	270	173	183	428
Orange	Santa Ana city	3095	586	362	523	1624
Orange	Seal Beach city	1243	258	201	239	545
Orange	Stanton city	1231	165	145	231	690
Orange	Tustin city	6782	1724	1046	1132	2880
Orange	Unincorporated Ora	10406	3139	1866	2040	3361
Orange	Villa Park city	296	93	60	61	82
Orange	Westminster city	9759	1881	1473	1784	4621

Attachment: Proposed Final Allocation Plan (Proposed Final 6th Cycle RHNA Allocation Plan)

SCAG 6TH CYCLE DRAFT RHNA ALLOCATION BASED ON RC-APPROVED FINAL RHNA METHODOLOGY

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

County	Jurisdiction	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above-moderate income
Orange	Yorba Linda city	2415	765	451	457	742
Riverside	Banning city	1673	317	193	280	883
Riverside	Beaumont city	4210	1229	721	723	1537
Riverside	Blythe city	494	82	71	96	245
Riverside	Calimesa city	2017	495	275	379	868
Riverside	Canyon Lake city	129	43	24	24	38
Riverside	Cathedral City city	2549	540	353	457	1199
Riverside	Coachella city	7886	1033	999	1367	4487
Riverside	Corona city	6088	1752	1040	1096	2200
Riverside	Desert Hot Springs c	3873	569	535	688	2081
Riverside	Eastvale City	3028	1145	672	635	576
Riverside	Hemet city	6466	812	732	1174	3748
Riverside	Indian Wells city	382	117	81	91	93
Riverside	Indio city	7812	1793	1170	1315	3534
Riverside	Jurupa Valley City	4497	1207	749	731	1810
Riverside	La Quinta city	1530	420	269	297	544
Riverside	Lake Elsinore city	6681	1878	1099	1134	2570
Riverside	Menifee city	6609	1761	1051	1106	2691
Riverside	Moreno Valley city	13627	3779	2051	2165	5632
Riverside	Murrieta city	3043	1009	583	545	906
Riverside	Norco city	454	145	85	82	142
Riverside	Palm Desert city	2790	675	460	461	1194
Riverside	Palm Springs city	2557	545	408	461	1143
Riverside	Perris city	7805	2030	1127	1274	3374
Riverside	Rancho Mirage city	1746	430	318	328	670
Riverside	Riverside city	18458	4861	3064	3139	7394
Riverside	San Jacinto city	3392	800	465	560	1567
Riverside	Temecula city	4193	1359	801	778	1255
Riverside	Unincorporated Rive	40647	10371	6627	7347	16302
Riverside	Wildomar city	2715	798	450	434	1033
San Bernardino	Adelanto city	3763	394	566	651	2152
San Bernardino	Apple Valley town	4290	1086	600	747	1857
San Bernardino	Barstow city	1520	172	228	300	820
San Bernardino	Big Bear Lake city	212	50	33	37	92
San Bernardino	Chino city	6978	2113	1284	1203	2378
San Bernardino	Chino Hills city	3729	1388	821	789	731
San Bernardino	Colton city	5434	1318	668	906	2542
San Bernardino	Fontana city	17519	5109	2950	3035	6425
San Bernardino	Grand Terrace city	630	189	92	106	243
San Bernardino	Hesperia city	8155	1921	1231	1409	3594
San Bernardino	Highland city	2513	619	409	471	1014
San Bernardino	Loma Linda city	2051	523	311	352	865

Attachment: Proposed Final Allocation Plan (Proposed Final 6th Cycle RHNA Allocation Plan)

SCAG 6TH CYCLE DRAFT RHNA ALLOCATION BASED ON RC-APPROVED FINAL RHNA METHODOLOGY

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

County	Jurisdiction	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above-moderate income
San Bernardino	Montclair city	2593	698	383	399	1113
San Bernardino	Needles city	87	10	11	16	50
San Bernardino	Ontario city	20854	5640	3286	3329	8599
San Bernardino	Rancho Cucamonga	10525	3245	1920	2038	3322
San Bernardino	Redlands city	3516	967	615	652	1282
San Bernardino	Rialto city	8272	2218	1206	1371	3477
San Bernardino	San Bernardino city	8123	1415	1097	1448	4163
San Bernardino	Twentynine Palms ci	1047	231	127	185	504
San Bernardino	Unincorporated San	8832	2179	1360	1523	3770
San Bernardino	Upland city	5686	1584	959	1013	2130
San Bernardino	Victorville city	8165	1735	1136	1504	3790
San Bernardino	Yucaipa city	2866	708	493	511	1154
San Bernardino	Yucca Valley town	750	155	117	145	333
Ventura	Camarillo city	1376	353	244	271	508
Ventura	Fillmore city	415	73	61	72	209
Ventura	Moorpark city	1289	377	233	245	434
Ventura	Ojai city	53	13	9	10	21
Ventura	Oxnard city	8549	1840	1071	1538	4100
Ventura	Port Hueneme city	125	26	16	18	65
Ventura	San Buenaventura (V)	5312	1187	865	950	2310
Ventura	Santa Paula city	657	102	99	121	335
Ventura	Simi Valley city	2793	749	493	518	1033
Ventura	Thousand Oaks city	2621	735	494	532	860
Ventura	Unincorporated Ven	1262	319	225	250	468

Attachment: Proposed Final Allocation Plan (Proposed Final 6th Cycle RHNA Allocation Plan)



DRAFT

A RESOLUTION OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS (SCAG) TO PURSUE IMPROVEMENTS TO THE REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT (RHNA) PROCESS AND CONTINUE SUPPORT FOR LOCAL JURISDICTIONS IN DEVELOPING AND IMPLEMENTING THEIR 6TH CYCLE RHNA HOUSING ELEMENTS

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
T: (213) 236-1800
www.scag.ca.gov

REGIONAL COUNCIL OFFICERS

- President
Rex Richardson, Long Beach
- First Vice President
Clint Lorimore, Eastvale
- Second Vice President
Jan C. Harnik, Riverside County Transportation Commission
- Immediate Past President
Alan D. Wapner, San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

- Executive/Administration
Rex Richardson, Long Beach
- Community, Economic & Human Development
Jorge Marquez, Covina
- Energy & Environment
David Pollock, Moorpark
- Transportation
Cheryl Viegas-Walker, El Centro

WHEREAS, SCAG is the largest Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) in the United States covering six counties (Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and Ventura), and serving 19 million people pursuant to 23 USC § 134 et seq. and 49 USC § 5303 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, as the region's council of governments, SCAG is responsible for allocating the state-determined regional housing need to all local jurisdictions within the SCAG region in accordance with state housing law, a process known as the development of the RHNA; and

WHEREAS, SCAG's Regional Council delegated to the SCAG RHNA Subcommittee, whose members are comprised of elected officials from each of the respective six (6) counties within the SCAG region, the authority to provide policy direction throughout the 6th cycle RHNA process and to provide recommendations to the SCAG Community Economic and Human Development (CEHD) Committee; and

WHEREAS, the RHNA Appeals Board reviewed, discussed and considered the Fifty-two (52) appeals that were filed by jurisdictions on the draft RHNA allocations of forty-nine (49) jurisdictions by the October 26, 2020 deadline. Of the appeals filed, two were withdrawn at the request of the jurisdictions who filed them (City of West Hollywood and City of Calipatria). Over the eight public hearing sessions scheduled on January 6, 8, 11, 13, 15, 19, 22, and 25, the RHNA Appeals Board heard appeals filed on forty-seven (47) jurisdictions and made decisions to approve, partially approve, or deny the request; and

WHEREAS, of the appeals reviewed, the RHNA Appeals Board granted two partial approvals. The County of Riverside was granted a 215 unit reduction and the City of Pico Rivera was granted 2,917 unit reduction. Per State housing law and the adopted Appeals Procedures, the successfully appealed units were proportionally reallocated back to the SCAG region; and

WHEREAS, during the appeals process, jurisdictions raised many reasonable concerns regarding the regional housing need determination (RHNA Determination or Regional Determination) by the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) and their ability to meet their housing elements; and

Attachment: Draft Resolution - RHNA Reform (Report on RHNA Process and Consideration of Resolution)

WHEREAS, State law (Government Code Section 65580 *et seq.*) defines a process for HCD development of RHNA Determination; and

WHEREAS, SCAG does not believe HCD followed this process, and on or about September 18, 2019, SCAG submitted its objection to HCD's initial RHNA determination of 1,344,740 total units among four income categories for the SCAG region for 2021-2029. SCAG objected primarily on the grounds that (1) HCD did not base its determination on SCAG's Connect SoCal growth forecast; (2) HCD compared household overcrowding and cost-burden rates in the SCAG region to national averages rather than to rates in comparable regions; and (3) HCD used unrealistic comparison points to evaluate healthy market vacancy. SCAG proposed an alternative RHNA determination of 823,808 units; and

WHEREAS, on or about October 15, 2019, after consideration of SCAG's objection, HCD issued its Final RHNA Determination of a minimum of 1,341,827 total units among four income categories for the 6th cycle projection period June 30, 2021-October 15, 2029; and

WHEREAS, SCAG is committed to addressing the housing crisis in the State and recognizes that the RHNA is an important tool to address this crisis; and

WHEREAS, SCAG is committed to executing its responsibilities under the RHNA statute (Government Code Section 65580 *et seq.*), including adopting a Final RHNA Allocation Plan that furthers the objectives set forth in Government Code Section 65584(d) and allocates the Final RHNA Determination; and

WHEREAS, SCAG is concerned that by setting the Regional Determination so high, jurisdictions will not be able to fulfill their obligations and will be penalized in a way that only hurts jurisdictions' ability to build housing; and

WHEREAS, during the appeals process, the RHNA Appeals Board heard many other concerns about legitimate constraints that were not addressed by the Regional Determination or the RHNA methodology, which were outside of planning factors articulated in the RHNA statute such as wildfire and other high risk zones, flooding risk caused by dams, ingress and egress issues caused by limited and narrow roadways, as well as economic development issues, limited bases for appeal, etc.; and

WHEREAS, SCAG has determined that jurisdictions need continued support by the State as well as flexibility to meet their housing element requirements; and

WHEREAS, SCAG is committed to helping jurisdictions update their housing elements through Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) grant programs.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Regional Council of the Southern California Association of Governments as follows:

1. SCAG shall continue supporting local jurisdictions with their Housing Element development through Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) grant programs; and

2. SCAG shall engage with the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to ensure clear understanding of challenges faced by local jurisdictions and that all development opportunities are fully considered; and
3. SCAG shall encourage HCD to pursue activities as part of a reform committee established under Assembly Bill (AB) 101 including holding hearings in the SCAG region and inviting participation and input from stakeholders, particularly local jurisdictions which filed appeals; and
4. SCAG shall work with the State Legislature to pursue legislative changes to State housing law to allow for more flexibility for housing element development and implementation.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Regional Council of the Southern California Association of Governments at its regular meeting this 4th day of March, 2021.

Rex Richardson
President, SCAG
Vice Mayor, City of Long Beach

Attested by:

Kome Ajise
Executive Director

Approved as to Form:

Michael Houston
Chief Counsel

Item 5: Recommended Action: **Geospatial Planning Solution and 3-D Visualization Services**
Receive report.

Reports

On January 28, 2021, the OCCOG Board approved the release of RFP 0001-21 for Geospatial Planning Solution and 3-D Visualization Services in an amount not to exceed \$1,350,000. OCCOG advertised the availability of RFP 0001-21 on February 5, 2021 immediately upon receiving SCAG approval of the procurement documents. OCCOG responded to questions from the proposers received by the deadline 5:00 pm Friday February 12, 2021. OCCOG received two proposals for consultant services by the submittal deadline of 2:00 pm Friday February 19, 2021. Interviews were conducted on February 23, 2021. On February 25, 2021, the OCCOG Board awarded the contract to WSP USA, who will be providing a kickoff presentation to the OCCOG TAC at this meeting.

In order to assist WSP in understanding the current GIS capabilities throughout the county, we are asking jurisdictions to provide feedback on the attached GIS survey that had been circulated previously.

GIS in Orange County

CITY	LINK	BUILDING FOOTPRINTS	NOTES	GIS dept/license?
Aliso Viejo	https://avcity.org/283/Aliso-Viejo-City-GIS		No data; Interactive City viewing	
Anaheim	http://data-anaheim.opendata.arcgis.com/	YES	Data data; PDFs available; interactive maps available	multiple staff
Brea			No data available	
Buena Park	https://maps.buenapark.com/portal/apps/sites/#/home		No data; interactive city maps	
Costa Mesa			No data available	
Cypress			No data available	
Dana Point	http://www.danapoint.org/residents/services/community-view-web-accessible-maps-planning-info		No data; interactive city maps	
Fountain Valley	https://www.fountainvalley.org/1272/Map-Portal-Public		No data; interactive city maps	
Fullerton	https://www.cityoffullerton.com/services/maps_gis/default.asp		Data is available	
Garden Grove	https://ggcity.org/maps		No data; interactive city maps	
Huntington Beach	https://www.huntingtonbeachca.gov/services/mapping-services/		No data; interactive city maps	
Irvine	https://landing-cityofirvine.hub.arcgis.com/		No data; interactive city maps	multiple staff
La Habra	https://www.lahabracity.com/317/City-Maps		No data; PDFs available	
La Palma	https://www.cityoflapalma.org/62/Maps		No data; PDFs available	
Laguna Beach	http://gisweb.lagunabeachcity.net/Html5Viewer/index.html?configBase=http://gisweb.lagunabeachcity.net/Geocortex/Essentials/REST/sites/GISMap3/viewers/HTML5_22/virtualdirectory/Resources/Config/Default		No data; interactive city maps	
Laguna Hills	https://www.ci.laguna-hills.ca.us/368/City-Maps		No data; PDFs available	
Laguna Niguel	https://www.cityoflagunaniguel.org/705/Maps		No data; PDFs available	
Laguna Woods	https://lagunawoodscs.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer3d/index.html?id=a99c841c50e942bd9a23e3db9ddd0307		No data; interactive city maps	
Lake Forest	https://www.lakeforestca.gov/404/City-Maps		No data; interactive city maps	
Los Alamitos				
Mission Viejo	https://cityofmissionviejo.org/departments/public-works/community-gis		No data; interactive city maps	

GIS in Orange County

CITY	LINK	BUILDING FOOTPRINTS	NOTES	GIS dept/license?
Newport Beach	https://www.newportbeachca.gov/how-do-i/gis-mapping	YES	Data is available	
Orange	https://cityoforange.maps.arcgis.com/home/index.html		No data; PDFs available; interactive maps available	
Placentia	http://data-placentia.opendata.arcgis.com/		Data is available	
Rancho Santa Margarita	https://www.cityofrsm.org/260/GIS-Maps		No data; PDFs available; interactive maps available	
San Clemente	https://www.san-clemente.org/departments-services/planning-services/long-range-planning/general-plan/general-plan-maps		No data; PDFs available	
San Juan Capistrano	http://sanjuancap.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=aa7d86db88f4454cac758f28b809c2b1		No data; interactive city maps	
Santa Ana	http://gis-santa-ana.opendata.arcgis.com/		Data is available	
Seal Beach	https://www.sealbeachca.gov/Departments/Community-Development/Planning-Development/Zoning-Maps		No data; PDFs available	
Stanton	http://ci.stanton.ca.us/Departments/Community-Development/Planning-Division/General-Plan-Land-Use-and-Zoning-Maps?folderId=475&view=gridview&pageSize=10		No data; PDFs available	
Tustin			No data available	
Villa Park			No data available	
Westminster	https://www.westminster-ca.gov/departments/community-development/planning-division/maps		No data; PDFs available	
Yorba Linda	https://www.yorbalindaca.gov/750/Geographic-Information-Systems-GIS		No data; interactive city maps	
Agencies				
OCTA	http://www.octa.net/News-and-Resources/Open-Data/GIS-Data-Layer-Downloads-Signup/		Must sign up to download data	
LAFCO	http://oclafco.org/index.php/geographic-information-systems-gis-policy/		data available	
MWDOC				

GIS in Orange County

CITY	LINK	BUILDING FOOTPRINTS	NOTES	GIS dept/license?
OCWD				

RELATED WEBSITES

UCI GIS Library	https://guides.lib.uci.edu/gis/location			
Orange County GIS Online Maps	https://www.ocgis.com/ocpw/LandRecords/			
Orange County Public Works	https://www.ocgov.com/gov/pw/survey/services/gis.asp			
Orange County Open Data Portal	https://data-ocpw.opendata.arcgis.com/			

California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) Housing Element Resources

The OCCOG TAC can now access HCD Housing Element resources by clicking the link below. The folder includes a video recording of the HCD and OCCOG Kick-Off Meeting and the HCD Housing Element Technical Assistance Schedule. The folder will be updated throughout the Housing Element process.

HCD Housing Element Resources Link:

<https://www.dropbox.com/sh/ckag3nxyaxvif1g/AAAyIMPLCvh0QcoP2y242zCYa?dl=0>